

	<i>What do you think about the conference in general and how do you look back on the content, organization, location and atmosphere?</i>	<i>Do you have suggestions for further improvements of the ISISA conferences?</i>
1	1 An exciting atmospheric conference, full of surprises and the unexpected. 2 Great interface with local festival. 3 Thoughtfully organised. Welcoming. 4 Terschelling facilities and services used well. 5 Strong Contextualising Opening Keynote - model for future conferences. 6 Inspiring excursions.	1 Good idea to have chair as last speaker, but didn't work well. Chairing is an art. Use competent people. 2 Imperative that local Island people are involved optimally in every aspect of the program. 3 Mainlanders should NOT organise conferences for Islanders. Islanders need to OWN the conference. 4 ISISA plus hosts should aim to leave a legacy on a host island. 5 Too many presenters not able to use power point optimally - too much tiny script and information. Maybe guidelines should be provided. 6 Some parallel papers were not up to scratch, especially first time presenters. Do we need to create evolving researchers forum?
2	Well organized, great sessions, good food, nice field trips.	A little more free time. The schedule is rather grueling. Could reduce number of keynote speakers to free up time.
3	Organization, location and atmosphere were all excellent. Everyone worked very hard to ensure success. Congratulations	No
4	I think that it was a very well organised conference with a variety of unique experiences integrated with the academic presentations. The location was great except that in the Maritime college it was a bit difficult for some people to go up and down all those steps.	Try and find a venue that is accessible to all.
5	Very well-organized, intellectually stimulating, great diversity of approaches, amicable atmosphere.	The panels seemed to be put together a bit arbitrarily. There could have been far more focus.
6	It was a splendid organisation. perfect locations and wonderful atmosphere. Concerning the paper there should be a more thoughtful selection, since it does not make sense to accept papers of people who have only to present intentions of their research and NO real insights. Some papers were disappointing in that respect.	see above, and please consider a more restrictive selection process of papers - I personally do not want to listen to Master Thesis intentions or PhD thesis outlines without any further insights; it is especially distressing if such papers are in the same session as outstanding scientist who we want to convince that social scientists have their importance as well. Maybe there could be a section of early career scientist, then everybody knows what to expect.
7	Thank you for this wonderful conference experience. I think you did a wonderful job in two amazing locations. As a vegetarian I always face some difficulties in conferences but here I felt perfectly cared for.	We might consider (senior) commentators for some sessions to wrap up insights and put them into larger frameworks of existing island studies literature. This might help to address the young scholars' request to consider the transition of compiled knowledge to a next generation of researchers.
8	An outstandingly well organised Conference with an effective balance between the academic and the experiential. The program provided ample opportunity for networking. Overall, parallel papers were of a good standard. However scholarships seem to have been offered to students at	1. I had expected to meet more local Wadden Island residents at the Conference, and to hear from them in the parallel paper sessions. I think the interaction between visitors and locals should be a key feature of ISISA conferences, not just socially, but intellectually. 2. I'm

	<p>the very beginning of their post-graduate programs, and some of their papers lacked depth and substance, and should never have been presented. I suggest a more rigorous process of scholarship selection, and greater scrutiny of paper proposals. On the other hand, invited keynotes were consistently sound, well-presented, and highly relevant to the Conference theme. In summary: a thoroughly enjoyable, satisfying and intellectually stimulating Conference. My very sincere thanks!</p>	<p>not sure that asking the last speaker in each parallel paper session to chair that session was a good idea. It certainly helped with time-keeping! But it was hard for people to chair their own presentations, and follow-up questioning/ discussion of their papers was not always effective.</p>
9	<p>Best attended ISISA conference in many years. Such a rich representation of islands from around the world, and of islanders or island scholars from so many disciplinary backgrounds. The ferry from mainland to island, incorporated into the conference, reminded us of the reality of island life.</p>	<p>Stronger participation by local island community. Involvement of community radio. Live tweets and blogs during the conference proper. More local food!</p>
10	<p>I look back at a great conference, with interesting crowd of attendees, good location and atmosphere, well put together with an eye for details and personal approach. Well done.</p>	<p>No suggestions for this conference, only in the pre phase, there where some problems with the communications and missings in the program. However, this was solved and during the conference everything went smoothly. Looking forward to the next conference.</p>
11	<p>I am in awe of how you managed to host this conference so successfully in two separate locations: Leeuwarden and Terschelling - no easy feat! I appreciated the hospitality, the food, the venues, the organization of each day - all were superb. Perhaps a little more information each day would have been helpful (e.g., I overheard people asking our guide logistical questions about the days' activities she couldn't answer; or the location of the last evening's farewell drinks and snacks for those who did not attend the storytelling) - but it was not detrimental to the conference - we rolled with it! I particularly loved being able to ride a bike from my hotel to the conference venue. I liked the programming, which included many excellent local presenters as keynote speakers. They really gave us a feel for the Netherlands and its islands, near and far.</p>	<p>More of the same! Perhaps in the Call for Papers, we could encourage other ways of presenting academic work instead of the usual lecture-with-Powerpoint format (e.g., using the word "stories," "creative," or "artistic" in the call).</p>
12	<p>very well organized conference, with interesting topics.</p>	<p>perhaps participating without traveling to the Island (videoconferencing)?</p>